This summary of 510(k) safety and effectiveness information is being submitted in accordance with the requirements of SMDA 1990 and 21 CFR 807.92(c).

The assigned 510(k) number is: K071799

OCT 2 2007

Information required per [§807.92(a)(1)]:

Submitter's Name:

Inverness Medical-BioStar, Inc.

Address:

331 South 104th Street

Louisville, CO 80027

Telephone:

(303) 530 - 6612

Fax:

(303) 530 - 6601

Email:

robin.hart@invmed.com

Contact Name:

Robin C. Hart, Ph.D.

Date 510(k) Summary Prepared:

August 21, 2007

В. Information required per [§807.92(a)(2)]:

Name of the Device:

BioStar® OIA® SHIGATOX

Common Name:

Direct Antigen Detection, Shiga Toxins,

Escherichia coli, other enterohemorrhagic

organisms

**Product Code:** 

GNA -- Antisera, all types, Escherichia coli

GMZ - Antigens, all types, Escherichia coli

Regulation Section:

21 CFR Part 866.3255

Escherichia coli Serological Reagents

Classification:

Class I

Panel:

83 Microbiology

Identification of legally marketed device to which we are claiming equivalence [§807.92(a)(3)]:

BioStar OIA SHIGATOX; K061889; Inverness Medical-BioStar Inc.

INVERNESS MEDICAL-BIOSTAR INC. **OIA SHIGATOX** 510(k) NOTIFICATION

**REVISION 8/21/2007** Page 13 of 69

## D. Device Description [§807.92(a)(4)]:

There has been no change in the device description from the previously cleared device. However, a description of the device is provided below.

## a. Summary and Explanation

Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) strains are an important cause of epidemic and endemic diarrhea, hemorrhagic colitis, and hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS). The most commonly reported serotype associated with outbreaks in the United States has been O157:H7. However, as many as 50 other serotypes of *E. coli* have also been shown to produce Shiga toxins and have been reported to be associated with outbreaks and sporadic disease both within and outside the United States<sup>1</sup>. Due to the morbidity and mortality associated with outbreaks and sporadic cases of STEC diseases, these pathogens are now considered major public health problems of worldwide importance. STEC strains share the potential to produce a variety of virulence factors, including two Shiga toxins (Stx 1 and Stx 2). Human STEC strains can produce Stx 1 or Stx 2 alone or in combination<sup>2</sup>.

The ability to control the spread of outbreaks associated with STEC depends upon the rapid detection of these pathogens. Laboratory diagnosis of diarrhea has been recommended as it may alert public health officials to a common source of illness in a community. For the affected patient, laboratory confirmation of STEC may preclude costly additional tests, allow earlier implementation of supportive treatment in impending HUS, and, while controversial, exclude administration of potentially harmful antimicrobial agents<sup>3</sup>. The method used in most clinical microbiology laboratories is based on sorbitol MacConkey agar culture (SMAC), coupled with specific detection of the O157 antigen. This approach neglects other STEC serotypes and also other Shiga toxin-producing bacteria<sup>2</sup>. The cytotoxin assay described by Karmali is used to screen stool samples for evidence of STEC infections. However, this test is slow, labor-intensive, difficult to standardize, and it requires cell culture facilities, making it impractical for routine diagnostic laboratories<sup>4</sup>.

References cited may be found in the draft package insert in the Proposed Labeling section on page 49 of this submission.

#### b. Principle of the Test

The OIA SHIGATOX test involves the qualitative detection of Shiga toxins 1 and 2 (Stx1 and Stx 2) produced by certain strains of Escherichia coli and other organisms. The Optical ImmunoAssay technology enables the direct visual detection of a physical change in the optical thickness of molecular thin films. This change is the result of antigen - antibody binding on an optical surface (silicon wafer). After a specimen potentially containing Shiga toxin is mixed with conjugates and placed directly on the optical surface, the immobilized surface antibodies capture the antigen/conjugate complex. After washing, the substrate is added, increasing the thickness (mass enhancement) of the molecular thin film. This change in thickness alters the reflected light path, and this alteration is visually perceived as a color change. Slight changes in the optical thickness produce a distinct visible color change. A positive result appears as a purple spot on the gold background. When antigen is not present in the specimen, no binding takes place. Therefore, the optical thickness remains unchanged, and the surface retains the original gold color indicating a negative result.

More specifically, the BioStar OIA SHIGATOX device is based on a novel thin film optical detection technology that relies on the interaction of white light with thin films to create a destructive interference phenomenon. Characteristic of this phenomenon is the generation of a reflective surface that changes color as a function of the change in optical thickness (refractive index x thickness) of the films on the surface of the device. To take advantage of this phenomenon for monitoring biological binding events, the optical surface with a special background color is coated with a capture reagent specific to the analyte of interest. In the OIA SHIGATOX device, the biological capture film is a combination of affinity-purified polyclonal antibodies to Shiga toxins 1 and 2 (Stx 1 and Stx 2). Samples suspected of containing either or both of the toxins are mixed with cocktail containing polyclonal antibodies to Stx 1 and Stx 2 that have been covalently conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (HRP). Once a sample containing toxins or either toxin is applied to the surface, the immune complex of toxin(s) and the anti-toxin-HRP conjugate(s) are bound to the surface antibodies. Following a wash step, a precipitating substrate for HRP is added, and a thin film generated by the immobilized immune complex is enhanced by the precipitation of the HRP product. Once washed and dried, a simple color change relative to the gold background color is observed as an indication of the presence of Stx 1 or Stx 2 in the original specimen.

The OIA SHIGATOX device produces a qualitative result for the presence or absence of Shiga toxin as the device output. Input to the device is the simple addition of an aliquot of fecal material (direct or in transport media) or broth culture to the reagents contained in the kit. Fecal samples are routinely collected and no special collection requirements exist. Test devices within the

kit are single use devices, and disposal instructions are provided in the Package Insert. The kit contains all components necessary for analysis of the range of samples approved for use in this product, with the exception of a timer.

#### c. Device Description

Reagent 1 - Conjugate 1: Contains anti-Shiga Toxin 1 antibodies (rabbit) conjugated to Horseradish Peroxidase (HRP) in a buffered protein solution, preserved with 0.5% ProClin® 300 preservative.

Reagent 2 - Conjugate 2: Contains anti-Shiga Toxin 2 antibodies (rabbit) conjugated to Horseradish Peroxidase (HRP) in a buffered protein solution, preserved with 0.5% ProClin 300 preservative.

Wash - Contains buffered detergent solution preserved with 0.1% ProClin 300 preservative.

Substrate - Tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) and hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>).

Test Devices - Surfaces coated with anti-Stx 1 and anti-Stx-2 affinity purified rabbit polyclonal antibodies.

Positive Control - Inactivated purified Shiga toxin preserved with 0.01% Microcide II and 0.005% gentamycin preservative in a buffered protein solution.

Diluent / Negative Control - Buffered protein solution preserved with 0.01% Microcide II and 0.005% gentamycin.

Reaction Tubes

Transfer Pipettes

Rayon Swabs

Materials Required But Not Provided - timer

## **Assay Protocol**

- **Liquid Specimens:** The Transfer Pipette provided should be used for liquid fecal specimens.
- Semi-Solid Specimens: Dip the swab into the specimen, rotating gently to absorb sample. Rotate the swab gently against the wall of

the sample container to remove excess fecal material. Add 2 drops of Diluent / Negative Control to the reaction tube.

- Cary Blair Specimens: The Transfer Pipette provided should be used for Cary Blair Specimens.
- Colony Collection: Wipe a swab across the first and second quadrants of the growth area on the plate and then immerse the swab into a reaction tube containing 3 drops each of Reagents 1 and 2 and follow the Assay Procedure.

Remove reagents from refrigerated storage and allow to warm to room temperature (15° to 30°C). Store Wash Solution at room temperature (15° to 30°C) after opening. If stored refrigerated, Wash Solution will take up to 2 hours to warm to room temperature.

Remove one Reaction Tube for each specimen to be tested and place it upright in a rack or holder. Label Reaction Tubes and Test Devices with appropriate patient information. Place Test Devices on a level surface while the assay is being performed. **Mix all fecal specimens thoroughly before sampling.** 

- 1. Add 3 drops of Reagent 1 to the Reaction Tube.
- 2. Add 3 drops of Reagent 2 to the Reaction Tube.

Note: The combined Reagents 1 and 2 in the Reaction Tube should have a blue color.

- 3. Thoroughly mix the fecal specimen with the Transfer Pipette. Add 2 drops of the specimen to the Reaction Tube and mix by squeezing the sides of the tube. For semi-solid specimens, an enclosed swab may be used to add specimen into the tube. Refer to "Semi-Solid Specimens" under the Test Procedure for additional information.
- 4. Within 1 minute of mixing, use the Transfer Pipette to place 1 to 2 drops (to cover the surface) of the sample mixture directly onto the center of the test surface. Wait 10 minutes.
- 5. Wash the surface vigorously with a hard squirt of Wash Solution, taking care not to exceed the capacity of the absorbent material surrounding the test surface.

Note: Vigorous washing will aid in obtaining a clean test surface. Insufficient washing of the test surface may leave debris that may result in the appearance of a ring or spots surrounding the positive Internal Control dot. These effects should not be interpreted as a positive result due to the lack of color shading within the ring area.

6. Confirm that the blotter in the Test Device lid is in Position I. Close the Test Device at the corners. Leave closed for 10 seconds to remove residual moisture from the test surface.

7. Open the lid, change the blotter to Position II, and apply 1 drop of Substrate directly to the center of each Test Device. Wait 5 minutes.

Note: Do not cover the entire surface of the Test Device with Substrate. The gold, unreacted areas surrounding the reaction circle serves as a negative Internal Control and reference for comparing signal intensity.

8. Repeat Step 5, washing the test surface vigorously with Wash Solution. Close the Test Device at the corners. Leave closed for 10 seconds. Open and examine the test surfaces for color changes (See Interpretation of Test Results).

## E. Intended Use [§807.92(a)(5)]:

The Inverness Medical BioStar OIA SHIGATOX assay is an Optical Immunoassay (OIA) test for the qualitative, rapid detection of the presence of Shiga toxins in human diarrheal fecal specimens, broth cultures, fecal specimens in Cary Blair Transport Media, or swab sampling of colonies from a culture plate. This test is intended for *in vitro* diagnostic use as an aid in the diagnosis of infection by Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) both O157 and all non – O157 Shiga toxin-producing strains.

## F. Summary of non - clinical testing [§807.92(b)(1)]

a. Analytical Sensitivity

To determine the analytical sensitivity, two-fold serial dilutions of purified Stx 1 or Stx 2 toxin were prepared. These dilutions were then spiked into buffer and the spiked samples were tested in triplicate. The Limit of Detection (LOD) was defined as the lowest toxin concentration producing at least two positive results of the three tests or at least 50% of the total number of samples tested. In an antigen diluent formulation, the limits of detection were 1 ng/mL and 0.5 ng/mL for Stx 1 and Stx 2, respectively. The same levels were tested in a liquid stool and the LOD for each toxin was determined to be 1 ng/mL. Five samples at each concentration were analyzed on 2 lots of devices.

b. Analytical Strain Recognition

## **Toxigenic Strain Testing**

To establish that the OIA SHIGATOX assay detects all Shiga toxin producing strains and organisms, the following studies were conducted. Clinical isolates, previously analyzed for the presence of Shiga toxin genes and serotyped, were obtained from a Department of Public Health (49 isolate strains) and a university laboratory (21 isolate strains). Lists of the isolates from each source are presented in the tables. Tubes of MacConkey broth

were inoculated with each isolate and cultured overnight at 37° C under aerobic conditions. A 60-µL sample of the broth was then tested in the OIA SHIGATOX assay without further processing using the same protocol as was used with clinical samples after broth enrichment.

## Department of Public Health Isolate List

		N	iins	
Somatic Antigen	Flagellar Antigen	Stx-1 only	Stx-2 only	Stx-1 + Stx-2
O26	H11	9		3
O28	H25		1	
O76	H19	1		
O103	H2	1		
0103	H25	1		
O111	Non-motile	4	1	
0121	H19		2	
0121	Non-motile		1	
O145	Non-motile	3	1	
O146	H21		1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
O157	H7	<u> </u>	3	14
O165	Non-motile			1
Undetermined	H34	1		
Ondetermined	Non-motile	1		

# University Laboratory Isolate List

		Number of strains				
Somatic Antigen	Flagellar Antigen	Stx-1 only	Stx-2 only	Stx-1 + Stx-2		
O26	Not Available	5	-			
O103	Not Available	5		,		
O128	Not Available	2		1		
O157	H7	5	3			

In addition, *Shigella dysenteriae* (ATCC 13313) was tested and produced a positive result as expected. All 70 clinical isolates produced the expected positive assay result. The OIA SHIGATOX assay detects the Shiga toxin from a wide range of toxin-producing *E. coli*. Similar results were observed with multiple lots of material throughout the development process.

## c. Analytical Specificity (Cross Reactivity)

Bacteria were grown on appropriate media and suspended in Antigen Diluent, a buffered protein solution, to stock concentrations of 1 x 10<sup>8</sup> organisms/mL or higher. The organisms were tested with and without spiking with 2.5 ng/mL Stx 1 and 2.5 ng/mL Stx 2. The exceptions were that *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* were tested at 1 x 10<sup>6</sup> cysts/mL, and *Candida albicans* was tested at 9.3 x 10<sup>7</sup> cells/mL. All members of the cross reactivity panel produced the expected negative result without the toxin spike and the expected positive result with the toxin spike.

Aeromonas hydrophila

Bacillus cereus

Bacillus subtilis Bacteroides fragilis

Bifidobacterium adolescentis

Campylobacter fetus Campylobacter jejuni Candida albicans

Citrobacter freundii

Clostridium botulinum Type A

Clostridium butyricum
Clostridium histolyticum
Clostridium innocuum
Clostridium povvi

Clostridium novyi Clostridium perfringens

Clostridium septicum

Clostridium sordellii Clostridium subterminale

Clostridium tetani

Cryptosporidium parvum Enterobacter aerogenes

Enterobacter aerogenes Enterobacter cloacae Enterococcus faecalis

Escherichia coli (non-STEC)

Giardia lamblia

Klebsiella pneumoniae

Peptostreptococcus anaerobius Porphyromonas asaccharolytica

Proteus vulgaris Providencia rettgeri

Pseudomonas aeruginosa Salmonella diarizonae Salmonella enteriditis

Salmonella typhi

Salmonella typhimurium Serratia liquefaciens Serratia marcescens

Shigella flexneri Serotype 1A

Shigella sonnei

Staphylococcus aureus

Staphylococcus aureus Cowan I Staphylococcus epidermidis Staphylococcus saprophyticus

Veillonella parvula Vibrio cholerae

Vibrio parahaemolyticus Yersinia enterocolitica

In addition, one clinical trial site tested three stools in the OIA SHIGATOX assay that were positive in a commercial lateral flow, EIA assay for Rotavirus. All 3 samples were negative in the OIA SHIGATOX assay as expected.

# d. Interfering Substances

The OIA SHIGATOX assay was tested with whole blood, mucin, liquid Imodium® AD, Pepto Bismol®, Kaopectate®, and Barium Sulfate for the potential of these materials to interfere with assay results. Clinical trial lots of product were tested, and the conjugate and test surface were specific to each lot. To test for potential nonspecific signal generation, a 30  $\mu L$  aliquot of each potential interferent stock was mixed with 30  $\mu L$  of Antigen Diluent or a liquid or semi – solid stool specimen and run as the sample with the standard assay protocol. To test for potential interference with the positive signal, the 30  $\mu L$  aliquot was mixed with 30  $\mu L$  Antigen Diluent or a liquid or semi – solid stool specimen containing 5 ng/mL of each toxin (final concentrations of Stx 1 and Stx 2 were 2.5 ng/mL). Each sample was tested in duplicate.

## Interferents diluted into antigen diluent

Test Material	Stock Concentration (used 1:1 in the sample)	Interferent + Negative Sample		+ Po	ferent sitive nple
		Lot 1	Lot 2	Lot 1	Lot 2
Barium Sulfate	58% (Neat)	-,-	-,-	+,+	+,+
Bovine Mucin	25 mg/g	-,-	-,-	+,+	+,+
Kaopectate®	Neat	-,-	-,-	+,+	+,+
Pepto Bismol®	Neat	-,-	-,-	+,+	+,+
lmodium <sup>®</sup>	Liquid (Neat)	-,-	-,-	+,+	+,+
Whole Blood	Neat	-,-	-,-	+,+	+,+

None of the substances, tested at the stated concentration, caused a false positive or false negative result in the assay. Therefore, the OIA SHIGATOX assay is tolerant to:

29% Barium sulfate

12.5 mg/g mucin

50% Kaopectate

50% Pepto Bismol

50% Liquid Imodium

50% Whole blood

## Interferents tested in liquid and semi - solid stool

Test Material	Stock Concentration (used 1:1 in the sample)	Interferent + Negative Sample		Concentration Negat (used 1:1 in Samp the sample)		Interfe Posi Sam	itive
		Liquid Stool	Semi - Solid	Liquid Stool	Semi - Solid		
Barium Sulfate	58% (Neat)	-,-	-,-	+,+	+,+		
Bovine Mucin	25 mg/g	-,-	-,-	+,+	+,+		
Kaopectate <sup>®</sup>	Neat	-,-	-,-	+,+	+,+		
Pepto Bismol®	Neat	-,-	-,-	+,+	+,+		
lmodium <sup>®</sup>	Liquid (Neat)	-,-	-,-	+,+	+,+		
Whole Blood	Neat	-,-	-,-	+,+	+,+		

## e. Reproducibility Studies

#### Objective:

The objective of the Reprodubility Studies was to demonstrate the inter – site and intra – site reproducibility of results obtained with the OIA SHIGATOX test. Testing at the Physician Office Laboratories (POL) sites was conducted to verify the ease of use of the product and is not included as an indication that testing should be performed at a POL site.

#### Materials & Methods:

The reproducibility of the OIA SHIGATOX assay was determined for six operators, one operator at each of the three clinical trial sites and three operators at two Physician Office Laboratory (POL) sites. Samples were blinded and randomized throughout the study. Samples were made by spiking stools from a healthy individual that were screened and confirmed to be negative for Shiga toxin. Two levels of each toxin (8 and 16 ng/mL) were tested both alone and in combinations with the other toxin. This scheme led to the preparation of 9 sample types: Negative, Low Stx 1 only, Low Stx 2 only, Moderate Stx 1 only, Moderate Stx 2 only, Low Stx 1/Low Stx 2, Low Stx 1/Moderate Stx 2, Moderate Stx 1/Low Stx 2, and Moderate Stx 1/Moderate Stx 2. By testing each sample in triplicate on each day, each operator evaluated a total of twenty-seven fecal samples on 3 consecutive days. Sites were instructed to analyze the samples as normal patient samples according

to the Package Insert instructions. The Sample Key is provided in Table 15, and the individual results by day are presented in Tables 16 to 18.

## Abbreviations Used:

Neg: No toxin added L1: 8 ng/mL Stx 1 L2: 8 ng/mL Stx 2 M1: 16 ng/mL Stx 1 M2: 16 ng/mL Stx 2

# Reproducibility Study Key

Sample ID	Day 1 Toxin	Expected Results	Day 2 Toxin	Expected Results	Day 3 Toxin	Expected Results
1	L2	Positive	M1	Positive	L1	Positive
2		Positive		Negative	M1 +	Positive
	M2	Fositive	Neg	Negative	M2	
3		Positive	L1 +	Positive	L2 +	Positive
······	<u>L1</u>		M2		M1	
4	L2 +	Positive	L2 +	Positive	L1+	Positive
	M1		M1		L2	
5	L1 +	Positive	L1 +	Positive		Positive
	L2		L2		L2	
6		Positive	L1 +	Positive	L1 +	Positive
	L1		L2		L2	
7	L2 +	Positive		Positive		Positive
	M1		L1		M1	
8	M1 +	Positive	L1 +	Positive	L2 +	Positive
	M2		M2		M1	
9	Neg	Negative	Neg	Negative	M2	Positive
10		Positive	M1 +	Positive	L1 +	Positive
	L2		M2		L2	
11		Positive		Positive	L1 +	Positive
	M1		M1		M2	
12	M1	Positive	L2	Positive	Neg	Negative
13	L2 +	Positive	L1 +	Positive		Positive
	M1		L2		M1	
14	L1 +	Positive		Positive	L1 +	Positive
	M2		M2		M2	
15	L1 +	Positive		Positive	M1 +	Positive
	M2		L2		M2	
16	Neg	Negative	L1	Positive	Neg	Negative
17	M1 +	Positive		Positive		Positive
	M2		M1		L2	
18	<u>L1</u>	Positive	Neg	Negative	L2	Positive
19	M1	Positive	M2	Positive	L1	Positive
20	M1 +	Positive		Positive		Negative
	M2	<u> </u>	M2		Neg	
21		Positive		Positive	L1 +	Positive
	M2		<u>L1</u>		M2	
22		Negative	M1 +	Positive	M1 +	Positive
	Neg		M2		M2	
23	L1 +	Positive	L2 +	Positive		Positive
	M2	<u> </u>	M1		M2	
24	,_	Positive	L2 +	Positive		Positive
	L2		M1		L1	
25		Positive	M1 +	Positive		Positive
	M2	ļ <u>-</u>	M2		M2	
26	L1 +	Positive	L1 +	Positive		Positive
07	L2		M2		M1	
27	L1+	Positive		Positive	L2 +	Positive
	L2		L2		M1	

# Study Results, Day 1

Sample	Expected	Clinical	Clinical	Clinical	POL 1	POL 2	POL 3
ID	Results	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Operator	Operator	Operator
					1	2	· 3
1	Positive						
2	Positive						
3	Positive						
4	Positive						
5	Positive						
6	Positive						
7	Positive						
8	Positive						
9	Negative						
10	Positive						
11	Positive						
12	Positive						
13	Positive						
14	Positive						
15	Positive						
16	Negative						
17	Positive						
18	Positive						
19	Positive						
20	Positive						
21	Positive						
22	Negative						
23	Positive						
24	Positive						
25	Positive						
26	Positive						
27	Positive						
Sc	ore	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

# Study Results, Day 2

Sample	Expected	Clinical	Clinical	Clinical	POL 1	POL 2	POL 3
ID	Results	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Operator	Operator	Operator
					1	2	. 3
1	Positive						
2	Negative						
3	Positive						
4	Positive						
5	Positive						
6	Positive						
7	Positive						
8	Positive						
9	Negative						
10	Positive						
11	Positive						
12	Positive						
13	Positive						
14	Positive						
15	Positive						
16	Positive						
17	Positive						
18	Negative						
19	Positive						
20	Positive						
21	Positive						
22	Positive						
23	Positive						
24	Positive						
25	Positive						
26	Positive						
27	Positive						
Sc	core	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

## Study Results, Day 3

Sample ID	Expected Results	Clinical Site 1	Clinical Site 2	Clinical Site 3	POL 1 Operator	POL 2 Operator	POL 3 Operator
					1	2	3
1	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
2	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative
3	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
4	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
5	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
6	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
7	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
8	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
9	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative
_10	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
11	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
12	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
13	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
14	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
15	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
16	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
17	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
18	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative
19	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
20	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
21	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
22	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
23	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
24	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
25	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
26	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
27	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
Sc	core	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Overall Score for the Study:

100%

#### Conclusions:

Overall inter – site and intra – site reproducibility was 100% (486/486 samples) for all operators and all days. There were no differences within run (replicates tested by one operator), between run (different days), between sites (6 sites), or between operators (6 operators).

## G. Summary of clinical specimen testing:

# **Summary of Performance Data for Unmodified Device:**

Clinical Trial Sites:

Site	Geographic Area	Institution Type	Institution Size	Site Testers
University of Utah and Primary Childrens (UT)	Western US	Children's Hospital	280 beds	MT ASCP
Fairfax Hospital (VA)	Eastern Southern US	Tertiary Care Community Hospital	850 beds	MT ASCP
Children's Healthcare of Atlanta (GA)	Eastern Southern US	3 Hospital Pediatric System/University and Community- based	417 beds	MT ASCP

## Clinical Sensitivity and Specificity

 Swab Sampling of Colonies from a Culture Plate (Colony Sweep Method)

One clinical site evaluated twenty two frozen fecal specimens in a colony sweep procedure. These samples were previously found to contain Shiga toxin producing *E. coli*. All samples were streaked onto Sorbitol MacConkey (SMAC) and XLD (xylose lysine deoxycholate) plates and incubated overnight at 37°C. One sample failed to produce any growth. A sterile rayon swab was used to sweep the first and second quadrants of the growth area and was then immersed into a reaction tube containing 3 drops each of Reagents 1 and 2 and the standard assay protocol followed. The OIA SHIGATOX assay detected 21/21 of the colony sweeps that produced growth for 100% agreement with the previous specimen result.

#### Direct Stool

A prospective study was conducted at three clinical trial sites in the Eastern and Western regions of the United States to compare the performance of the BioStar OIA SHIGATOX to a commercial EIA test. Sites analyzed the stool specimens collected for direct testing from the stool sample by both assays and then placed an aliquot of the stool in MacConkey broth within 48 hours of specimen collection. Broth cultures were incubated for 20 – 30 hours and then tested by both immunoassays. A SMAC culture (Sorbitol MacConkey plates) was also plated within 48 hours of the specimen collection for the determination of *E. coli* O157. All positive results from either immunoassay method were confirmed by cytotoxicity testing, CTA.

A total of 272 prospective specimens from diarrheal patients were tested in the OIA SHIGATOX and the EIA method.

Comparison of OIA SHIGATOX to EIA for Direct Stool Samples



Positive Agreement: 100% (95%CI: 73.5 –100%) Negative Agreement: 98.1% (95%CI: 95.6 – 99.4%)

Overall Percent Agreement: 98.2% (95% CI: 95.8 – 99.4%)

Of the five OIA+/EIA - specimens, one was positive by CTA. One of the samples that was negative by direct stool testing in both the EIA and the OIA methods was positive in the OIA broth culture sample and by CTA from the direct stool.

Two of the clinical sites also performed a study in which sixty-two additional frozen specimens were prospectively tested by OIA SHIGATOX and EIA without the operator's knowledge of the original Shiga toxin result.

Comparison of OIA SHIGATOX to EIA for Frozen Direct Stools



Positive Agreement: 87.5% (95% CI: 67.6 – 97.3%) Negative Agreement: 97.4% (95% CI: 86.2 – 99.9%)

Overall Percent Agreement: 93.6% (95% CI: 84.3 – 98.2%)

#### 3. Broth Culture

A total of 269 prospective specimens from diarrheal patients were tested by OIA SHIGATOX and the EIA method from the broth culture. Three fecal specimens failed to produce any growth upon broth culture.

Comparison of OIA SHIGATOX to EIA for Broth Enriched Culture from Fresh Stools

		E	EIA		
		+	-		
OIA SHIGATOX	+	12	1		
	-	0	256		

Positive Agreement: 100% (95% CI: 73.5 -100%) Negative Agreement: 99.6% (95% CI: 97.9 - 100%),

Overall Percent Agreement: 99.6% (95% CI: 98.0 – 100%)

The single OIA SHIGATOX +/EIA – result was confirmed to be a true positive by the CTA analysis of the direct stool.

In the prospective frozen sample study, ten of the frozen specimens were not tested in overnight MacConkey broth culture. Two of the remaining specimens failed to exhibit growth after overnight MacConkey broth culture. The percent positive agreement was 100% and the percent negative agreement was 96.4%. The overall percent agreement in the study was 98%.

Comparison of OIA SHIGATOX to EIA for Broth Enriched Culture from Frozen Stools



Positive Agreement: 100% (95% CI: 84.6 -100%) Negative Agreement: 95.6% (95% CI: 81.7 - 99.9%)

Overall Percent Agreement: 98% (95% CI: 89.4 – 100%)

#### SMAC Culture

Two hundred and sixty nine (269) of the direct stool samples were analyzed by SMAC culture. The OIA SHIGATOX and EIA assays were compared to the SMAC culture results. Interpretation of the comparison between the OIA SHIGATOX or the EIA test and SMAC is confounded by the fact that, as a metabolic test, SMAC is specific for *E. coli* O157:H7, while OIA SHIGATOX reacts with all Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* (STEC). Also, SMAC requires the presence of live cells in the sample, while the OIA SHIGATOX test does not

have that limitation. Based on these differences, it was anticipated that a number of samples could be SMAC-negative and OIA SHIGATOX positive.

OIA SHIGATOX Direct Fresh Stool Results compared to SMAC Culture of Direct Stools

OIA SHIGATOX + 9 8 1 251

Positive Agreement: 90% (95% CI: 55.5 – 99.8%)
Negative Agreement: 96.9% (95% CI: 94.0 – 98.7%)
Overall Percent Agreement: 96.7% (95% CI: 93.7 – 98.5%)

EIA Direct Fresh Stool Results compared to SMAC Culture of Direct Stools

EIA + 8 4 - 2 255

Positive Agreement: 80% (95% CI: 44.4 - 97.5%)
Negative Agreement: 98.5% (95% CI: 96.1 - 99.6%)

Overall Percent Agreement: 97.8% (95% CI: 95.2 – 99.2%)

The one apparent OIA false negative result compared to the SMAC result was not confirmed by CTA and was not positive by EIA. Of the 8 apparent false positives by the OIA method, 4 of the samples were positive by CTA. The second EIA false negative result was positive by CTA and the OIA method. One of the OIA +/SMAC + samples was negative by CTA. The 2 EIA -/SMAC + samples were negative by CTA and one of the samples was negative by the OIA method as well. Three of the EIA +/SMAC - samples were positive by CTA and the OIA method. The remaining EIA +/SMAC - sample was negative by CTA but positive by the OIA method.

In addition, two of the clinical sites conducted a prospective comparison of the OIA method to SMAC culture using frozen samples. Thawed aliquots of all samples were tested in the OIA and SMAC methods for this comparison.

Frozen Stool samples comparing OIA SHIGATOX to SMAC

SMAC
+ OIA SHIGATOX + 9 13
0 40

Positive Agreement: 100% (95%CI: 66.4 - 100%)

INVERNESS MEDICAL-BIOSTAR INC. OIA SHIGATOX 510(k) NOTIFICATION

REVISION 8/21/2007 Page 31 of 69 Negative Agreement: 75.5% (95%CI: 61.7 – 86.2%)

Overall Percent Agreement: 79% (95%CI: 66.8 – 88.3%)

All thirteen of the OIA+/SMAC- samples were positive for STEC in previous testing.

#### 5. CTA

In the clinical study there were 19 specimens positive by OIA, EIA, or both methods. An aliquot of the stool specimen for each of these 19 specimens was submitted for CTA along with an aliquot of the broth culture media. One sample produced an inconclusive result and was excluded from this analysis. Thirteen of the samples were positive by CTA. The OIA SHIGATOX detected 12 of these 13 samples while the EIA method detected 11. Twelve of the broth aliquots were positive by CTA. The OIA SHIGATOX assay detected all 12 of these samples as did the EIA method. Of the 13 CTA positives, SMAC was positive for only 8 samples.

Comparison of OIA SHIGATOX, EIA, and SMAC to CTA for Direct Stool and Broth Culture Samples

	CTA Direct Stool	CTA Broth Culture
OIA SHIGATOX	12/13	12/12
EIA	11/13	12/12
SMAC	8/13	N/A

#### **Direct Stool:**

The following additions were made as a change to the previously cleared device.

Ninety-eight frozen fecal specimens were collected from 2 laboratory sites. Fecal specimens were thawed and tested directly in the BioStar OIA SHIGATOX assay and used to inoculate Cary Blair transport media. Cary Blair diluted fecal samples were tested within one and 24 hours of inoculation in the BioStar OIA SHIGATOX test. For the Cary Blair analysis, the direct fecal testing in the OIA method was used as the reference method.

#### **Broth Culture:**

Ninety eight fecal specimens were thawed and inoculated into MacConkey (MAC) broth and Gram Negative (GN) broth. The broth tubes were incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. An aliquot from each sample was tested in the OIA

SHIGATOX assay. In addition, the Cary Blair samples that were held for 24 hours at room temperature were used to inoculate both GN and MAC broths. These samples were incubated for 24 hours at 37°C, and then an aliquot was tested in the OIA SHIGATOX method. The reference method used in this evaluation was the direct fecal inoculation of the MAC broth.

#### Conclusions:

Based on the results obtained during these studies, the instructions for use of the OIA SHIGATOX assay have been amended to reflect the following changes in acceptable sample type:

- Cary Blair transport media inoculated with a fecal specimen for direct testing
- GN enrichment broth inoculated with direct fecal specimens
- ♦ GN enrichment broth inoculated with Cary Blair samples
- MAC enrichment broth inoculated with Cary Blair samples

# Summary of Performance Data After Device Modification:

Fecal Specimen Collection Laboratories:

Site	Geographic Area	Institution Type	Institution Size	Site Testers
University of Utah and Primary Childrens (UT)	Western US	Children's Hospital	280 beds	MT ASCP
Fairfax Hospital (VA)	Eastern Southern US	Tertiary Care Community Hospital	850 beds	MT ASCP

## Analytical Site:

Inverness Medical-BioStar Inc. 331 South 104<sup>th</sup> Street Louisville, CO 80027

## 1. Colony Sweep

No change.

#### 2. Direct Stool

The following additions were made as a change to the previously cleared device. All of the above data remains the same.

Ninety eight frozen fecal specimens were collected from 2 laboratory sites. Fecal specimens were thawed and tested directly in the BioStar OIA SHIGATOX assay and used to inoculate Cary Blair transport media. Cary Blair diluted fecal samples were tested at 2 time points, within one hour and then 24 hours of inoculation, with the BioStar OIA SHIGATOX test. In the direct fecal testing with the OIA SHIGATOX test, 32 specimens were determined to be positive and 66 specimens were negative. For the Cary Blair analysis, the direct fecal testing in the OIA method was used as the reference method.

Frozen Stool specimens comparing 1 Hour Cary Blair-diluted fecal specimens to direct OIA test results

OIA SHIGATOX

1 Hour Cary Blair-Diluted	+
Sample in the OIA SHIGATOX	_
method	

4 66

Positive Agreement: 88% (95%CI: 71 – 96.5%) Negative Agreement: 100% (95%CI: 94.6 – 100%)

Overall Percent Agreement: 96% (95%CI: 89.9 – 98.9 %)

Frozen Stool specimens comparing 24 Hour Cary Blair-diluted fecal specimens to direct OIA test results

method

Positive Agreement: 91% (95%CI: 75 – 98%) Negative Agreement: 100% (95%CI: 94.6 – 100%)

Overall Percent Agreement: 97% (95%CI: 91.3 – 99.4 %)

#### 3. Broth Culture

Ninety eight fecal specimens were thawed and inoculated into MacConkey (MAC) broth and Gram Negative (GN) broth. The broth tubes were incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. An aliquot from each sample was tested in the OIA SHIGATOX assay. In addition, the Cary Blair samples that were held for 24 hours at room temperature were used to inoculate both GN and MAC broths. These samples were incubated for 24 hours at 37°C and aliquot tested in the OIA SHIGATOX method. The reference method used in this evaluation was inoculation of the direct fecal specimen into MAC broth. Variation in results was due to the failure of a fecal specimen to grow in one or more of the broths.

Frozen Stool specimens comparing direct fecal specimens cultured in GN to MAC broth performance in the OIA test

Positive Agreement: 100% (95%CI: 86.8 – 100%) Negative Agreement: 95% (95%CI: 84.5 – 99.4%)

Overall Percent Agreement: 97.1% (95%CI: 90.1 – 99.7%)

Frozen Stool specimens comparing 24 hour Cary Blair samples cultured in GN broth to Direct MAC broth performance in the OIA test

Cary Blair + 26 1
sample in GN
broth OIA - 0 41
SHIGATOX

Positive Agreement: 100% (95%CI: 86.8 – 100%) Negative Agreement: 98% (95%CI: 87.4 – 99.9%)

Overall Percent Agreement: 98.5% (95%CI: 92.1 - 100%)

Frozen Stool specimens comparing 24 hour Cary Blair samples cultured in MAC broth to Direct MAC broth performance in the OIA test

Direct MAC OIA SHIGATOX

Cary Blair sample in MAC broth OIA SHIGATOX 26 3 2 40

Positive Agreement: 93% (95%CI: 76.5 – 99.1%) Negative Agreement: 93% (95%CI: 80.9 – 98.5%)

Overall Percent Agreement: 93% (95%CI: 84.3 – 97.7%)

# H. Conclusions from the nonclinical / clinical testing [§807.92(b)(3)]:

The results of the above described internal and external studies demonstrate that the BioStar OIA SHIGATOX is as safe and effective as the cleared predicate device.



Food and Drug Administration 2098 Gaither Road Rockville MD 20850

Robin C. Hart, Ph.D.
Director, Regulatory Affairs
Inverness Medical Professional Diagnostics
331 South 104<sup>th</sup> Street
Louisville, Colorado 80027

OCT 2 2007

Re: k071799

Trade/Device Name: BioStar® OIA® SHIGATOX

Regulation Number: 21 CFR 866.3255

Regulation Name: Escherichia coli Serological Reagents

Regulatory Class: Class I Product Code: GNA, GMZ Dated: August 21, 2007 Received: August 23, 2007

Dear Dr. Hart:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (PMA), it may be subject to such additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Parts 800 to 895. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the <u>Federal Register</u>.

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Parts 801 and 809); and good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820).

This letter will allow you to begin marketing your device as described in your Section 510(k) premarket notification. The FDA finding of substantial equivalence of your device to a legally marketed predicate device results in a classification for your device and thus, permits your device to proceed to the market.

If you desire specific advice for your device on our labeling regulation (21 CFR Part 801), please contact the Office of In Vitro Diagnostic Device Evaluation and Safety at 240-276-0450. Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21 CFR Part 807.97). For questions regarding postmarket surveillance, please contact CDRH's Office of Surveillance and Biometric's (OSB's) Division of Postmarket Surveillance at 240-276-3474. For questions regarding the reporting of device adverse events (Medical Device Reporting (MDR)), please contact the Division of Surveillance Systems at 240-276-3464. You may obtain other general information on your responsibilities under the Act from the Division of Small Manufacturers, International and Consumer Assistance at its toll-free number (800) 638-2041 or (240) 276-3150 or at its Internet address <a href="http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/industry/support/index.html">http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/industry/support/index.html</a>.

Sincerely yours,

Sally A. Hojvat, M.Sc., Ph.D.

Sall attorn

Director

Division of Microbiology Devices Office of *In Vitro* Diagnostic Device

Evaluation and Safety Center for Devices and

Radiological Health

**Enclosure** 

# INDICATIONS FOR USE

510(k) Number (if known): K071799

**Device Name:** 

BioStar® OIA® SHIGATOX

Indications For Use:

The BioStar® OIA® SHIGATOX assay is an Optical Immunoassay (OIA) test for the qualitative, rapid detection of the presence of Shiga Toxins in human diarrheal fecal specimens, broth cultures, fecal specimens in Cary Blair Transport Media, or swab sampling of colonies from a culture plate. This test is intended for *in vitro* diagnostic use as an aid in the diagnosis of infection by Shiga Toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) both O157 and all non – O157 Shiga toxin producing strains.

Prescription Use X OR	Over-The-Counter Use	 _	
(Part 21 CFR 801 Subpart D)		CFR	801
Subpart C)			

(PLEASE DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE-CONTINUE ON ANOTHER PAGE OF NEEDED)

Concurrence of CDRH, Office of Device Evaluation (ODE)

Division Sign-Off

Page 1 of 1

Office of In Vitro Diagnostic Sevaluation and Safety

110(k) K071799